

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,815	09/26/2003	Robert A. Moskovich	7127-00	8916
23909	7590 08/08/2006		EXAMINER	
COLGATE-PALMOLIVE COMPANY			SPISICH, MARK	
909 RIVER I PISCATAW	ROAD AY, NJ 08855		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1744	
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/672,815	MOSKOVICH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Spisich	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reption. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Jly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1 .		
,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applie	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w		•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	, , ,	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a laim for for a laim for for a laim for formal laid. All b laid some * c laim for formal laid. All b laid some the laid so	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority doct		plication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of th	e priority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International I	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attack and Attack			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	immary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48) Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date	/SB/08) 5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -·	

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-8, drawn to a head for a toothbrush, classified in class 15, subclass 167.1.
- II. Claims 9-16, drawn to a method of forming a head for a toothbrush, classified in class 300, subclass 21.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as (1) attaching bristle tufts in the apertures by means other than melting; (2) the preamble of claim 1, being to a head *for use with a toothbrush*, need only be capable of being (sonically) welded; and (3) even if the head and toothbrush are welded the particular type of welding, although material in a claim to the method (eg, claim 9), is not material in a claim to the product itself (claim 1).
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/672,815

Art Unit: 1744

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-1278. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (5:30-3:00), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/672,815

Art Unit: 1744

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-10\times0.

Mark Spisich
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1744

MS